IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS EL DORADO DIVISION

MID-CONTINENT CASUALTY COMPANY, Plaintiff	§ s	
1 Iaintiii	§ s	
V	§ e	CASE NO. 07-1056
V.	8	Consolidated With: 1:06CV1107
LEDDY C. LANCLEY	§	Consolidated with: 1:00Cv1107
JERRY C. LANGLEY,	§	
JERRY LANGLEY OIL COMPANY, LLC,	§	
GLENN SAMS,	§	
M&J OIL, LLC,	§	
RUSSELL CLAY MURPHY,	§	
CYPRESS PRODUCTION, LLC,	§	
JOHN D. MILAM,	§	
MILAM OIL CORPORATION,	§	
GARY SEWELL,	§	
SEWELL OPERATING, INC.,	§	
RICHARD HILL,	§	
STEVE ROGERS,	§	
HELEN W. LANDES, AS THE PERSONAL	§	
REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF	§	
RODNEY LANDES, SR., DECEASED, AND	§	
THREE SISTERS PETROLEUM, INC.	§	
Defendants	§	

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO COUNTERCLAIM OF SEPARATE DEFENDANTS
JERRY C. LANGLEY, JERRY LANGLEY OIL COMPANY, GLENN SAMS,
M&J OIL, LLC, RUSSELL CLAY MURPHY AND CYPRESS PRODUCTION, LLC,
JOHN D. MILAM, MILAM OIL CORPORATION, GARY SEWELL,
SEWELL OPERATING, INC., RICHARD HILL, STEVE ROGERS, AND
HELEN W. LANDES, AS THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF
RODNEY LANDES, SR., DECEASED

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Mid-Continent Casualty Company, and for its Response to the Counterclaim of Separate Defendants, Jerry C. Langley, Jerry Langley Oil Company, Glenn Sams, M&J Oil, LLC, Russell Clay Murphy and Cypress Production, LLC, John D. Milam, Milam Oil Corporation, Gary Sewell, Sewell Operating, Inc., Richard Hill, Steve Rogers, and

Helen W. Landes, as the Personal Representative of the Estate of Rodney Landes, Sr., Deceased,

contained in the Defendants' Second Amended and Substituted Answer filed on August 23,

2010, would show unto the Court as follows:

1. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of

Defendants' Counterclaim.

2. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of

Defendants' Counterclaim.

3. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of

Defendants' Counterclaim.

4. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of

Defendants' Counterclaim.

5. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of

Defendants' Counterclaim.

6. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of

Defendants' Counterclaim.

7. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of

Defendants' Counterclaim.

8. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of

Defendants' Counterclaim.

9. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 9 of

Defendants' Counterclaim.

Page 2

- 10. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of
- Defendants' Counterclaim.
- 11. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of
- Defendants' Counterclaim.
- 12. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 12 of
- Defendants' Counterclaim.
- 13. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of
- Defendants' Counterclaim.
- 14. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of
- Defendants' Counterclaim.
- 15. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 15 of
- Defendants' Counterclaim.
 - 16. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 16 of
- Defendants' Counterclaim.
- 17. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of
- Defendants' Counterclaim.
- 18. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of
- Defendants' Counterclaim.
- 19. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of
- Defendants' Counterclaim.

Page 3

20. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 20 of

Defendants' Counterclaim.

21. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 21 of

Defendants' Counterclaim.

22. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 22 of

Defendants' Counterclaim.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the

Defendants' Counterclaim be denied, and that Plaintiff be awarded its costs expended herein,

attorney's fees, and all other proper relief to which it is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

Crisp & Freeze

1921 Moores Lane

Texarkana, Texas 75503

Telephone: 903-831-4004

Facsimile: 903-831-4006

By: R. David Freeze

R. David Freeze

Arkansas Bar No. 81062

E-mail: <u>dfreeze@cbplaw.com</u>

J. David Crisp

Arkansas Bar No. 79043

E-mail: dcrisp@cbplaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff,

Mid-Continent Casualty Company

Page 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing *Plaintiff's Response to Counterclaim of Separate Defendants* was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). Therefore, this document was served on all counsel who are deemed to have consented to electronic service. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A). Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P 5(d) and Local Rule CV-5(e), all other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of this document via email, facsimile and/or U.S. First Class Mail, on this 24th day of August, 2010.

/s/ R. David Freeze

R. David Freeze